Threat Risk Performance Summary

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR55 - Children placed in unregistered provision may be at risk	34	N/A	N/A	28	Tweilhood	28 	limpact	28 <u> </u>	Impact
CRR5 - Business Continuity and Operational Resilience may not be effective	8	10	London A. London	14	No.	21		21	poorugi et al properties de la propertie
CRR9 - Possible Failure of Safeguarding Vulnerable Children	11	21	Likellhood	21	Impact	21	Impact	21	Twell tood
CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults may be at Risk with Care and support needs.	10	15	Impact	21	pool impact	21	[mpact	21	T (kellhood
CRR12 - Emergency planning measures and resources may be overwhelmed by scope and scale of an emergency or incident faced by the council.	13	14	Impact	14	Impact	21	No. of the state o	21	No. of the second
CRR13 - Possible Financial Framework and Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) Failure	14	28	poor lija yiji impact	28	Impact	21	Moor	21	Novel Novel
CRR15 – Possible In-Year Financial Deficit	15	28	Tikelihood	21	S PANT	21	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	21	No. of the second secon
CRR48 - We may not be able to meet the affordable housing needs of the City by failing to meet the Project 1000 Delivery targets.	27	21	Impact	21	Impact	21	Impact	21	Impact

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR51 - ASC may be financial unsustainable due to national and local pressures leads to a failure to deliver statutory duties and budgetary control	29	21	[Keilhood	21	Impact	21	Likelihood	21	Likellhood
CRR52 - Potential failure to manage and evidence building safety obligations in HRA stock	30	21	Impact	21	Double Impact	21	Impact	21	DOO LEGIS IMPACT
CRR56 – Potential threat to the ASC Care Quality Commission (CQC) Assurance Preparedness and Rating	35	15	butters!	15	P is a large of large	21	To an and a state of the state	21	d brace
CRR7 - Potential Cyber Security Issues	10	20	Trivelihood	20	Try limpact	20	lmpact	20	Impact
CRR25 - Possible Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) Systems Issues	17	20	pood	20	Impact	20	pood	20	lmpact
CRR37 – Homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing suitable affordable accommodation may affect long-term outcomes	21	20	Impact Impact	20	Impact	20	Impact	20	Wegact
CRR40 - Potential Threat of Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies	23	20	pooquia	20	Trkellhood	20	pood Impact	20	lmpact
CRR43 - Lack of progress for Mass Transit may have on Impact on the city	25	20	poor High State of the State of	20	Tikelihood	20	pood limpact	20	lmpact
CRR45 - Potential failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of Children	26	20	Impact	20	lmpact	20	Impact	20	Treell nood

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR53 - Increased social worker and occupational therapists vacancies and sickness rates may result in vulnerable adults care being compromised	32	20	Impact	20	Impact	20	Impact	20	Impact
CRR57 — Possible procurement breaches and compliance with procurement rules & legislation	36	15	Production of the second of th	20	Programme of the second of the	20	program	20	Trusect
CRR39 - Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier may fail to deliver as expected	22	15	mpact mpact	15	lmpact	15	Dood!!	9	X Section 2

Opportunity Risk Performance Summary

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
OPP01 - Possible Impact of One City Approach	39	21	Impact	14	Lastrond Carolina	14	Poor de la companya d	14	Impart Impart

CORPORATE RISKS PROPOSED FOR DE-ESCALATION FROM THE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Threat Risks Recommended for De-Escalation

Risks for De-escalation	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR18 - Possible failure to deliver enough new homes to meet Mayoral and Annual Business Plan targets.	16	10	by property and the second	15	lmpact	15 	lmpact	15 	lmpact
CRR27 – We may fail to Deliver the Capital Transport Programme	18	15	Tkeilhood	15 	Impact	15 	Impact Impact	15	Impact
CRR41 – Capital Portfolio Delivery May Fail	24	20	Impact	20	Impact	15	X input	15	to be before the second of the
CRR4 – Possible failure to Deliver an effective Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Framework	23	10	Impact	10	Impact	15	Impect	15 	No ed and a second seco
CRR26 - ICT Resilience May Not Be Effective	18	14	Tikeilhood Inhact	14	Impact	14	P S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	14	Impact
CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS) May Not Be Effective	20	10	The limbact	10	Impact	10	mpact mpact	10	pooga41
CRR49 – Potential Impact of Weak Workforce Resilience	28	20	Impact	20	Pipedilipood	9	X Insed	9	i mad
CRR6 - Potential threat of Fraud and Corruption	9	15	lmpact	15	Po Qui in pact	15	Impact	6	K Inped

Risks for De-escalation	Page	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix	Q3 Rating	Q3 Matrix
	Number	(22/23)	(22/23)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)
CRR54 - Potential Threat of Financial Sustainability of Nursery Schools	33	21 Escalated	mpact	21	mpact	6	2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	6	N S A A

External and Civil Contingency Risks Recommended for De-escalation

Risks for De-escalation	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
BCCC1 – Flooding May Impact Public Safety	40	15	Likelihood	15	mpact	15	Impact	15	Inpact
BCCC4 - Possible Increase In Winter diseases including COVID-19 and Flu	41	9	Impact	3	Impact	9	Tikelihood Impact	12	to and
BCCC5 - Cost of Living Crisis may have major impact on Citizens and Communities	42	28	Impact	12 1	Impact	12	Impact	12	Impact.

CORPORATE RISKS PROPOSED FOR ESCALATION TO THE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Threat Risks Recommended for Escalation

Risks for Escalation	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR58 - Failure to maintain and replace the Highway and Traffic assets may lead to future budget shocks and potential injuries to the public	37	9	Impact	9	Impact	21	III parts	21	inpact
CRR59 - Failure to deliver timely statutory planning decisions	38	12	brusst	12	Figure 1	12	E S brusel	20	Reguest

Risk Trend Key

Arrow	Description
1	The risk rating has improved from the previous quarter, having reduced in its severity.
1	The risk rating has deteriorated from the previous quarter, having increased in its severity.
•	The risk rating has not changed from the previous quarter.

Threat Risks

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk A	ssessment	Risk	Tolerance L	.evel
Risk Title: CRR4 – Possible failure to Deliver an effective Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Framework. Description: To deliver an effective management framework in place to ensure that the workplace and work environment is free from health and safety hazards. The framework the Council will use to achieve this is based on the Health and Safety Executives guidance Managing for Health and Safety (HSG65) 'Plan, Do Check Act' approach. The framework will apply to all employees who work at the Council whether on a permanent of temporary basis, Schools, contractor's agency staff visitors and other parties who have a business relationship with BCC.	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	pood, jewi pood	10 Likelihood Impact =	l = 2	Tolerance Impact
Risk Causes: If services do not have sufficient staff numbers to carry out work plans in a safe way.	Existing Cont	rols		Mitigating A	ctions	
If services are not able to order appropriate equipment required for staff safety. Lack of appropriate equipment.	Control		Action T	Title	Due Date	Progress
Lack of appropriate training. Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of information on the potential or known risks. Inadequate contract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being	Governance Arrangements Health Safety and Wellbeing	Strategy	Review Health and S Procedures	Safety	March 2024	20%
Risk Consequences: Risk of injury Staff, visitors, contractors, citizens.; Risk of injury to our tenants. Staff put under undue pressure leading to staff taking sick leave or leaving the organisation. Risk of legal action/penalties against the Council and individual managers, including possibility of Corporate Manslaughter. Impact on the reputation of the City Council. Lack of compliance with Health and Safety policies and safe practices, due to pressures of work or lack of training. Reputational damage Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB), Director of Workforce Change. Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress: Currently re prioritising the key policie					
Strategic Ineme: Our Organisation	management of the overall risk. We a guidance, there should be a clear plar Accident Incident Reporting will go ou There is pressure to get a policy on V been drafted so will go before the end mitigations in place for this risk.	n for January. However, ear t for consultation first along iolence and aggression out a	ly work shows Asbesto side a review of the Co and although this does	os , Legionella Wa prporate Health Sa not have a separ	ater Manageme afety and Wellb ate legal require	nt and eing Policy. ement it has

Threat Risk	Trend	Current R	isk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Le	evel
Risk Title: CRR5 - Business Continuity and Operational Resilience may not be effective Description: If the council has a Business Continuity disruption and is unable to ensure the resilience of key BCC operations and business activities, then the impact of the event maybe increased with a greater impact on people and council Services.	Deteriorating	21 Impact = 7 Likelihood = 3	Impact	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Impact
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trols		ng Actions	
-Strikes (People, Fuel); Loss of key staff (communicable diseases (Covid - illness and self-isolation) and influenza.; Loss of suppliers / supply chain disruption.; Loss of	Control		Action Title	Due Date	Progress
accommodation to deliver key services.; Loss of equipment / infrastructure, including utilities.; Any event	A number of Policies, procedures a place including duty rotas for key se		Assessment on adherence and implementation	March 2024	40%
which may cause major disruption - e.g., severe weather; Unavailability of IT and/or Telecoms.; Knowledge loss.;	Director rota. 2. Corporate Business Continuity Fran		BC Resource to support pan BCC	April 2024	70%
Reduced chances of preventing/ responding to incidents due to a lack of forward planning or investment.; Climate	escalation process - Framework pro July 2022.	February 2024	30%		
change	 Corporate Business Continuity Gro- 'cross cutting business support sen Procurement, HR) to horizon scan a 	vices' together (IT, FM,	Business Continuity 2023 workshops pan BCC	March 2024	80%
Risk Consequences: -Inability to deliver/support front line servicesService Disruption.	Group has met several times since reporting arrangements and govern 4. Corporate Resilience Group overse	March 2022 - Formalise ance required.	Embed Corporate Resilience Group and Business Continuity Group into corporate governance framework, including alignment with corporate risk group	May 2024	80%
-Loss of serviceTransportation disruption.	preparedness, including BC capabi outage exercise on 22nd March, all	lity - CRG hosted power	IT Resilience (BC)	February 2025	80%
-Additional demand on servicesStressPotential risk to staff and public safetyIncreased financial cost in terms of damage control and insurance costsLegal compliance and financial penalty.	exercise will shape a corporate pow 5. The CRG will seek assurances fron regarding the robustness of continu local risk.	usiness continuity arrangements. Learning from this xercise will shape a corporate power outage plan. he CRG will seek assurances from key service areas garding the robustness of continuity arrangements against real risk. Lead IT Resilience / Business Continuity project, developing battle boxes, an IT Resilience Plan, understanding DR arrangements across BCC del services and SAAS, improving service-level BC project in the project of the		January 2024	80%
-Reputational damage.	developing their BC plans in Q3, ali 7. Finance Budget		Workshops to support services to complete Business Continuity templates	December 2023	100%
	8. Growth Paper		BCC wider BC Plan Quality and Adherence	Jan 2025	70%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration Director Management of Place. Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance & Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.	required / completed as part of se So 100% is needed but also an a actions. But this is a challenge / b returns for quality and content, th	ervice planning for all HoS ssessment to audit if the valance given the limited it is is a challenge with 1 F ⁻¹ % engaged in Barton Hou	s have hosted by EPRT BC Resource (1 FTE) these S. Despite the training and the BIA requirement we ar BIA's produced are of a meaningful quality and only eresource now trying to balance BC demands and sup TE resource on business continuity for all BCC. (whe see Major incident). Risk assessment and support to	e still yet to see a 100% retur exercising on the BIA will tell port across BCC with an aud n that 1 FTE also supports e	n of impact assessments so that'll be the next it of service planning BIA mergency response and

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	erance Lev	el		
Risk Title: CRR6 - Potential threat of Fraud and Corruption	Improving	6		6	В	
Description: Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption against the council from either internal or external sources.		Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Impact Impact	Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Likelihood	pact
Risk Causes: Heightened levels of fraud, including cyber fraud, due to	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions		
current cost of living increases.	Control		Action	Title	Due Date	Progress
Failure of management to implement a sound system of internal control and/or to demonstrate commitment to it	A dedicated Counter Fraud and Inve- Audits: Internal Audit reviews will sor		Fraud Risk Assessments		Nov 2023	60%
at all times. Not keeping up to date with developments, in new areas	of fraud controls. 3. Continued use of analytic and addition		Fraud Reviews		March 2024	25%
of fraud. Insufficient risk assessment of new emerging fraud	payment checks:	rial resources to periorifi	Fraud Prevention Strategy		Nov 23	100%
issues. Lack of clear management control of responsibility,	4. Fraud Risk Assessments:5. Increased use of technology and data	a analytics:	Implementation of Fraud Prevent	ion Strategy actions (New)	March 26	0%
authorities and / or delegation.	National Fraud Initiative (NFI) fraud h On-going improvement plan for Whis	ub App.	Implementation of Hub developm	ent plan (New)	March 25	0%
Lack of resources to undertake the depth of work required to minimise the risks of fraud /avoidance. Under investment in fraud prevention and detection technology and resource.	8. Participation in anti-fraud exercises. 9. Planned programme of proactive frau work:.	d detection and prevention	Improving control framework		March 26	25%
Risk Consequences: Potential increase in financial losses due to increase in	Whistleblowing procedure: New inter	nal procedure developed	Working with other Councils		March 2024	30%
scams. Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption could result in financial loss for the Council. Reputational damage could be suffered if fraud occurs.			Partnership Working		March 2024	50%
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: - In the current economic environment char been undertaken in previous years includi	ng the set up of a fraud hub and	prioritisation of fraud prevention	n has resulted in the risk so	core being redu	ced. Both the
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	likelihood of a significant fraud and its imp the fraud risk is inherent in most of our act work include improving awareness of frau and taking actions on lessons learned fror	ivities this risk will continue to be d risk through training of staff and	monitored ensuring that action	ns from fraud risk assessm	ents are acted t	up. Ongoing

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk To	lerance Lev	el
Risk Title: CRR7 – Potential Cyber Security Issues Description: The Council's risk level in regard to Cyber-security is higher than should be expected.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Pinpact	10 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Tolera	noe impact
Risk Causes: • Lack of investment in appropriate technologies.	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions	·	
 Reliance on in-house expertise, and self-assessments (PSN). Lack of formal approach to risk management (ISO27001). Historic lack of focus. Risk Consequences: a. Information security incidents resulting in loss of personal data or breach of privacy / confidentiality. b. Safeguarding data breach impacting on safety of vulnerable child or adult. 	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress
	 Phishing attack exercises - As well as technical controls, the Council continues to carry out regular Phishing attack exercises where we are sending emails to staff to see how users react to this type of Cyber Attack. Anyone clicking on links is directed towards targeted training. Targeted Training of employees - The Information Governance and ICT team will continue to work together to support the SIRO to develop appropriate targeted training for all Council staff relating to cyber security. developed by IG and ICT Teams 		Work with ICT colleagues continues and discussions around cementing roles and responsibilities is being undertaken		Dec 2023	90%
c. Risk of breaching the regulations and being subject to penalties/fines - Regulations Fines	3. Technical controls		Implement audit action IG Board	ons with oversight by	Dec 2023	90%
increasing from up to £500,000 to 10-20m Euros of 4% of global turnover, enforced by the Information Commissioners Office on behalf of the European Union. d. Increased litigation. e. Reputational damage.	4. Security team training					
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress. Significant work is ongoing, including reduced. Work being conducted und Supported by BAU activities. In revieunchanged.	er DTP, as well as with exte	rnal SME partners, includir	ng Microsoft commissio	ned pieces of v	work.

Threat Risk	Trend	Current	t Risk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Level		el
Risk Title: CRR9 - Possible Failure of Safeguarding Vulnerable Children Description: The council fails to prevent increased risk of harm to children, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable child.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	lmpact	7 Likelihood = 1 Impact = 7	Pooli exil	
Risk Causes:	Existing Controls			Mitigating Actions		
-Demand for services exceeds service capacity and	Control		Action Tit		Due Date	Progress
capability.; Inadequate controls result in harm. -Increase in child protection, complex safeguarding risks, criminal exploitation, serious youth violence	DCS quarterly assurance report to Corporate Le Inspections and Peer Reviews	·	Procure a strategic partner to under familial harm and with our children home or care.		October 23	85%
and gang affiliation.; Hidden harm resulting from periods of lockdown, increased stress in families and service disruption during COVID	Quality assurance and performance framework in place. Strategic Risk Assurance		Our Families Transformation Programme		March 2025	20%
-Placement failure due to COVID infection across children's home or fostering households. -An increase in demand of 6% evident across care population - specific pressures are clear for teenagers and unaccompanied children requiring our care	The Keeping Bristol Safe Board provides indeper children's safeguarding and safer communities' acity and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety accept the safety and holds BCC and partner agencies to accept the safety accep	Working with other Councils		March 2024	30%	
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Children and Families						
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.	Summary of Progress: Quality Assurance and performance frame quarterly assurance report to Corporate Le provides independent scrutiny of children's to account. LGA review undertaken and no safe system of work for safeguarding children improvements: • resource in place for missing children improvements: • reviewing quality assurance practice to Appointed a strategic partner to review reviewing child sexual abuse pathway • Revised (as part of KBSP) Threshold of implementing 'Safe and Together' app • Our Families Transformation Program • DfE Grant following Enhanced Diagnor housing pathway and recruitment and	adership Board and safeguarding and sew Independent Charen and communities by appointment of a communities and redesign extra with partners document roach to Domestic Ame to deliver better stics pilot to improve	action taken to address areas for afer communities' arrangements air driving improvements. Services areas of special strategic partner quality of audits and sufficient nursuality and services abuse in families. Outcomes for children and families a services to and outcomes for children and families.	r improvement. The Keep in the city and holds BC0 is and structure aimed at ecific vulnerability and imported by the country and imported by the country by	oing Bristol Sa C and partner ensuring delivolementing by Islington the	afe Board agencies very of a rough PiP.

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Ris	sk Tolerance L	_evel
Risk Title: CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults may be at Risk with Care and support needs. Description: The council fails to ensure adequate safeguarding measures are in place for adults at risk.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	Z Likelihoo Impaci	t = 7	Impact
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating A	ctions	
Adequacy of controls.; Management and operational	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
practices. Demand for services exceeds capacity and capability.	Annual report shared with E		Demand Management Re	eview	March 24	0 %
Poor information sharing. Lack of capacity or resources to deliver safe practice. Reduction in or lack of supply of commissioned care. Failure to commission safe care for adults at risk. Failure to meet the requirements of the 'Prevent Duty' placed on Local Authorities. Increased destitution in families, impacting on mental ill health, managing increased infection within the population. (COVID19); Increase identification of selfneglect and complexity. Carer strain / resilience. Risk Consequences: Financial damage Legal liability Death/Injury Reputational damage Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.	scrutiny of progress of the K Partnership (KBSP). Training for all key staff in th safeguarding. Twice weekly business cont supply of commissioned car of waiting list. Improved Data through Pow safeguarding concerns feed management operational me Safeguarding Discussion Fo monthly – sharing informatio cases	ne essentials of cinuity meeting around re and active management verBI – capturing ling into monthly eetings orum – multi-agency held	Workforce - maximising stresources within budget	taffing	Dec 2023	0%
Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care & Integrated Care System	Summary of Progress: Risk remains; reviewed 23 Novembe team is working on introducing best p				greater insight, and	d operational
Strategic Theme: Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Ri	sk Assessment	Risk T	olerance L	evel
Risk Title: CRR12 - Emergency planning measures and resources may be overwhelmed by scope and scale of an emergency or incident faced by the council.	Deteriorating	0.1			5	
Description: A Major Incident or emergency which exceeds the response capacity of the council and partner responding organisations leading to mass fatalities, excess deaths, damage to property and infrastructure and an ability to deliver key service to the community. In addition, further consequences could be litigation and reputational damage to the council.	1	21 Impact 7 = Critical Likelihood 3 = Likely	Impact	Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	u Likelihood	npact
Risk Causes:	Existing Cor	trols		litigating Actions		
-Emergency risks not identified and prepared forLack of trained and available responding staff.	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
-Emergency roles and responsibilities not embedded.	1.24/7 Operations Centre provides ef city and a co-ordinary role in respons	e and recovery	Development and roll out of the Emelearning package		May 24	60%
	2.Corporate Resilience Group, overseeing mitigations of contingencies risks identified on the National Security Risk Assessment and delivery of Category 1 Responder duties		Community Resilience Mapping development		January 24	80%
	3.Active participation in the Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum and close working with multi-agency partners, including training and exercising.		Emergency training – rest centres, humanitarian assistance and training for Marshals currently running		May 2024	70%
	4.Emergency Plans		Plan and Deliver Corporate exercise		March 2024	70%
Risk Consequences: Increased risk of:	5.Duty Director rota in place		ERPT Resource Growth bid		March 2024	60%
Disruption of public services; Disruption of transport networks; Death/injury Displacement of people	6.Duty Civil Protection Officer & other (Highways, Dangerous Structures, Pretc)		Emergency Volunteer Reduction. Need increase.		March 2024	10%
_ ispacec.r peop.e	7.BCC emergency plan training and e	exercising in place	Horizon scanning for emerging risks annually (Via CRG, BC Group and LRF)		March 2024	65%
	8.Monitoring of severe weather event		Public Health demand v standard		March 2024	80%
	9.Close working with Safety Advisory		COMAH Off Site Emergency Plan a	nd Exercise (New)	Nov 24	70%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Management of Place.	10.Horizon scanning for emerging ris (through CRG, BC Group and LRF)	ks, including Ukraine war	Updating Core Guidance (New)		March 24	60%
Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance & Performance	emergency response and recovery wa	as recently 100% engaged in	ager to support Emergency response, Barton House Major incident, thus a ris n able to respond). Risk assessment a	sk of potential concurr	ent or sequential	incidents
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing	Growth Paper for decision pathway. (таын төтөрөнөд. Кізк аззезэтелі а	and Support to miligate	e / Teduce is bein	ig dianed into

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk Assessment	: R	Risk Tolerance L	.evel	
Risk Title: CRR13 - Possible Financial Framework and Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) Failure Description: Failure to be able to reasonably estimate and agree the financial 'envelope' available, both annually and in the medium-term and the council is unable to set a balanced budget.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Likei hooc	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	rikelihood Cikelihood Cikel	
Risk Causes: Failure to achieve Business Rates income- appeals/general	Existing Controls		Mitigating Actions			
economic growth/loss of major sites. Economic uncertainty impact on locally	Control		Action Title	Due Da	te Progress	
generated revenues - business rates and housing growth, impacting on council tax, new homes bonus and business rate income. The general economic uncertainty affecting the financial markets, levels of trade & investment Local Government finance settlement from spending review. Continued Impact of Covid-19 on key income sources.	Budget Preparation, Setting a Framework - BCC manages its fir of controls including budget prepa Budget Accountability Frame responsibilities for managing, in	Making representation to government departments in re to: - the likely costs at a local I for the proposed Adult Social (reforms	level March	2024 0%		
Inadequate budgeting & budgetary control/Financial Settlements & wider fiscal policy changes:-The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding, business rates retention to significantly reduce the government funding available to	income and expenditure agains place. 2. Medium Term Financial Plan – T	vice yearly update including	Appropriate Finance Resourcii Improvement	ing March	2024 50%	
the council alongside possible increase in demand for council services. Embedding of the new national funding formula for schools and High Needs. Political failure to facilitate the setting of a lawful budget. Unable to agree a deliverable programme of propositions that enable the required savings to be achieved. Insufficient reserves to mitigate risks and liabilities and provide resilience. Rising inflation could lead to increased cost. Impact of Adult Social Care reform and sufficient funding available to meet increased cost	sensitivity and scenario based assumptions including inflation at		Robustness of Monitoring and Delivery of Savings	March :	2024 50%	
Risk Consequences: Potential failure to set a legal budget and council tax by the due date, would have a significant adverse impact on the council's ability to provides services and the council's reputation locally and nationally in terms of investor confidence. That the budget is unlikely to reflect council priorities and objectives. That the budget may not adequately resource pressures and increases in demand. That the budget includes savings which are not deliverable. That the council reserves are used for mitigating the medium-term financial plan; running down reserves, avoiding decision and reducing the Council's resilience. Negative impact on front line services. A negative opinion from external audit. Secretary of State intervention.						
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: The risk is significantly impacted by issues					
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	economically and politically, funding arrangements from central government and policy that impacts the Council's MTFP. Internal controls and manage of the process are undertaken annually and sensitivity testing of assumptions and modelling is undertaken, as well as collaboration with peer organisa and influencing through available channels to ensure that the Council continues to manage and mitigate this risk. The MTFP budget gap remains a time, with work continuing to enable it to be closed to support a balanced budget and MTFP to be taken forward for recommendation to full council addition the provisional local government financial settlement remains outstanding and therefore the final impact from that will need to be taken into account to the coming budget setting period. Overall there is limited certainty available for the medium term in terms of funding, with the current settlement coming to an end in 24/25 and the changes anticipated at a central government level.					

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR15 – Possible In-Year Financial Deficit	Constant	21		6	od
Description: The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the council's reserves policy.		Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Impact
Risk Causes:	Existing Controls		Mitigating Actions		
A failure to appropriately plan and deliver savings.	Control		Action Title	Due Date	Progress
Unscheduled loss of material income streams. Increase in demography, demand and costs for key council	BCC Financial Framework - BCC that we have in place sound arrar		DfE Deliver Better Programme	Dec 2023	60%
services. The inability to generate the minimum anticipated level of capital	management, monitoring and rep Leadership Team and Cabinet.		Appropriate Finance Resourcing Improvement	March 2024	50%
receipts. Insufficient reserves to facilitate short term mitigations, risks and liabilities. Interest rate volatility impacting on the council's debt costs. Impairments in our commercial Investments are realised. Response to inadequate SEND inspection in 2019, Increased demand for EHCPs, Lack of specialist provision in Bristol, increased compliance to statutory requirements in relation to SEND.	oversight and ongoing management and deep dives in areas reported 3. Ensuring engagement at local, re table and working groups to keep Business Rates retention and new Government. To ensure funding fof changes are fed into our long-t	of non-containable pressures. gional and national level - in round abreast the spending review, v funding formulas for Local or Bristol is maximised and impact erm financial planning and	Robustness of Monitoring and Delivery of Savings	March 2024	50%
Risk Consequences: The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the council's reserves policy.	 DSG - Detailed Management Pla detailed Management Plan is in d 	y risks and opportunities and risk out frequent re-assessment of inities and risk and other reserves. In Based on DfE Framework - A levelopment, using the DfE's leficit and development of the plan ring 21. The DfE were not this time.			
Risk Owner: Director Finance (CFO S151)					
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress: This remains High. At P7 and moving i deterioration. Whilst much of the advertible position has worsened and further	rse position has been managed thro	ugh in year mitigation and balance		

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Ri	isk Assessment	Risk Tolerance		ance Lev	/el
Risk Title: CRR18 - Possible failure to deliver enough new homes to meet Mayoral and Annual Business Plan targets. Description: Failure of the City to deliver to the Mayoral Target of 2000 new homes per year by 2024. Strategies and delivery models designed to further stimulate growth in the housing market and deliver diversity of the housing offer across the city prove to be ineffective and do not attract and retain economically active residents.	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Likelihood	Likeliho Impa	ood = 3	Likelihood	O
Risk Causes: -Not enough planning applications submitted	Existing Controls	Existing Controls		litigating Ac	ctions		
-Not enough planning permissions granted -Insufficient housing land identified in	Control		Action Title		Due [Date	Progress
strategic planning documents			Secure Homes England Affordable Housing Programme Funding		March 2026		70%
 Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit and Covid-19. 	2.Established a Local Housing Company (Go		Trousing Frogramme Funding				
Risk Consequences: -Reputational damage - Fail to deliver inclusive growth - Increased housing need / homelessness -Increased cost of housing -Failure to retain economically active residentsWidening gap on demand -Growth of student accommodation retracting	4.Manage a targeted grant funding programme to subsidise the delivery of affordable homes. 5.Required a minimum of 30% affordable housing on land released by the Council. 6.Secured additional grant funding for infrastructure. Secured funding from Homes England 7.Service Review of Housing Delivery Team 8.Worked collaboratively with Homes England						
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Development of Place. Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and	 Strategic City Planning monitor housing completions and future pipeline of conser Summary of Progress: 						
Homes Strategic Theme: Fair and Inclusive	This has materialised for this FY. There ma completion there is now little that the planni			e to the time	lag between o	decision m	aking and

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tol	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR25 – Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) Systems Description: The Council has reliance on legacy software systems which cause a number of risks due	Constant	20	B	10	po		
to; 1. Supportability from internal IT resource 2. The supportability of the hardware utilised 3. Lack of alignment to strategy and therefore a blocker to Digital Transformation 4. Within an appropriate support contract 5. Legacy data used for current work (GDPR) 6. Lack of Information (Cyber) Security controls 7. High cost where alternative core Council solutions exist		20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Impact	Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Likelihood	pact	
Risk Causes: Sovereignty within service areas, and a	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions			
lack of motivation to change. Cost of transition.	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress	
Lack of knowledge of which systems are problematic and the impacts of these. Lack of understanding of impact. Lack of ownership from Information Asset Owners.	Auditing of all councils Line of	Business (LOB systems)	Channel Shift Project - Review business systems with the vireplacing either by building or platforms such as dynamics new products and better utility.	ew to rationalising and on existing internal or via procurement of	February 2028	0%	
Lack of documentation pertaining to software systems and ownership of strategy. Cost avoidance of replacing systems. This is seen as an IT problem, not one for the software system owners.	2. IT Services highlight risks and sl an informal manner) to Heads of	of Service and Senior ance perpetuate a Cyber					
Risk Consequences: Lack of resilience and continuity in event of an incident/failure High-cost applications without appropriate support. Inability to improve service delivery through digital transformation.	Security or Information Manag service areas understand the r						
May feed into Information (Cyber) Security risks. Risk Owner(s): Director, Digital Transformation, Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) for Cyber Security. Service Areas for BCP/DR.							
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: Work underway to procure cyber-sections lower risk until assessment of LOB et al.		completed, giving overview	of known IT systems/c	contracts; but d	oes not	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation							

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risl	k Assessment	Risk Tolerance Leve		
Risk Title: CRR26 – ICT Resilience May Not Be Effective Description: The Councils ability to deliver critical and key services in the event of ICT outages and be able to recover in the event of system and/or data loss.	Constant	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	Impact	10 Likelihood Impact =		O
Risk Causes: Poor Business Continuity (BCP) planning and understanding of key system	Existing Co	ontrols	Mitiga	ting Actions	S	
architecture.	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
Untested Disaster Recovery (DR) arrangements including data recovery.	Connection to BCC systems protections - With the majority of staff working from home, connection to our systems is vital		Project to move Shared Drives	to Cloud	December 2025	65%
Untested network reconfiguration to alleviate key location outage. Untested recovery schedules in terms of order and instructions. Lack of resilience available for legacy systems (single points of failure - people and technology). Services undertaking their own IT arrangements	and the main route is via VPN. access which can be used. 2 fa as a back door which allows no Microsoft office 365. Highlight to service areas vulne Highlighting to service areas wh vulnerable and advising on likel	Removal of legacy hardware from estate		November 2025	50%	
outside of the corporate approach. Risk Consequences: Inability to deliver services	enable appropriate BC planning 3. Moved critical systems to the cl 4. Resilience workshops for most are in progress to review and in critical systems including Adult Revs and Bens and Housing	g. oud with more effective DR. critical systems - Workshops nprove resilience for our most and children's social care,				
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Director, Digital Transformation, Service Area Leads.	5. Supplier run order in the event our disaster recovery supplier ha major outage involving multip6. Weekly testing of individual sys individual systems is tested wee	nas a run order in the event of le systems. tems restore - The restore of				
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress: Risk level remains unchanged, but work by moving more of our estate to Cloud-bare still present.	is progressing on key areas, includi pased services. However, gaps inclu	ing projects within the Digital Transfording failover testing and the need for	mation Progra a wider organi	mme to increa sational projec	se resilience t on BC/DR

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk As	ssessment	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR27 – We may fail to Deliver the Capital Transport Programme	Constant				pood	
Description: Management of the overall transport capital programme is key to ensuring we deliver against mayoral priorities in the most cost and time efficient way possible. Failure to do so negatively impacts the council's reputation and finances and makes the council less likely to reduce congestion, air pollution and inequality.		15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Likelihood	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Tolerance Theilhood	Impact
	Existing Contr	ols		Mitigating Acti		
	Control			on Title	Due Date	Progress
	Quarterly capital review boards C		Capital Programme Transformation Project (New)		March 24	50%
	Capital Programme Transformation Project		Health reviews of various projects (New)		March 24	75%
	Regular briefings and reporting to senior ma members.	nagement and cabinet	Recruit to vacant posts across the service (New)		March 24	10%
Risk Consequences: - Financial impact - Failure to progress schemes or delays to schemes impact on productivity of city and aims to reduce congestion, air pollution and inequality - Reputation Impact						
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.						
Portfolio Flag: Transport (Cllr Alexander)	Summary of Progress: Lack of resource and high number of value an issue.	acancies still leading to signif	ficant risk of failing to	deliver capital programm	ne. Support service	es can also be
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk T	olerance Lev	/el
Risk Title: CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS) May Not Be Effective Description: There is a risk that if the council does not have an Information Security Management System then it will not be able to effectively manage Information Security risks.	Constant	10 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Impact	5 Likelihood = 1 Impact = 5		npact
Risk Causes: Ineffective Information Security Management System, inadequate resources to	Control			Mitigating Action	s	
create and maintain an ISMS, management buy in and support to operate an ISMS.			Action Ti		Due Date	Progress
in and support to operate an isino.	Guidance and awareness or regular phishing campaigns being delivered to raise awa	Continue roll out of Policies with oversight from ICGB Information Governance Tool		December 2023	90%	
		around the risk of Cyber incidents and how good Information Security practices (including adherence to			December 2023	100%
Risk Consequences: Information security incidents resulting in loss of personal data or breach of privacy / confidentiality. Safeguarding data breach impacting on safety of vulnerable child or adult. Risk of breaching the regulations, and being subject to penalties/fines - Regulations Fines increasing from up to £500,000 to 10-20m Euros of 4% of global turnover. Increased litigation. Reputational damage. Risk Owner(s): Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	policies) will help minimise toccurring 2. Security Team Training 3. Meta Compliance tool online compliance/engagement of	the likelihood of these e to track	oversight by IG Boa			
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	Summary of Progress: No change to current score. Policy work, and internal comporate policy work, and internal comprovement needed to be fully align	ollaboration with IT policies th				

Threat Risk	Trend	Current F	Risk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Leve		/el
Risk Title: CRR37 - Homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing suitable affordable accommodation may affect long-term outcomes Description: The risk that homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing suitable affordable accommodation to meet needs and achieve effective long-term outcomes increases.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Likelih ood	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Likelihood	mpact
Risk Causes: -The ending of the eviction ban	Existing Control	ols		Mitigating Actions		
-Unemployment and cost of living rising leading to an increase in evictions.; A recent sharp increase in the number of	Control		Action Tit	le	Due Date	Progress
households partly or wholly reliant on welfare benefits [UC claimant households in Bristol have risen from 17,000 in	Joint commissioning of services commissioning of services for those		Changing Futures Programme		March 2024	45%
number in April 2020 to 38,000+ in Feb. 2022]. For most welfare benefits recipients, particularly those living in the	who also face multiple disadvanta	who also face multiple disadvantages - to create a more Incr		Increase the supply of move on accommodation - RSAP round 5 bid deadline 13th April 2022		60%
private rented sector, housing and essential household costs are not met by their benefits entitlements'.Impact of the pandemic leading to an increase in mental health issues, family relationship breakdown and domestic violence & abuse. -Supply of affordable rented housing reducing -Increasing popularity of Bristol as a city to move to, and associated increased pressure on demand and cost of private rented accommodation	commissioning a new framework for supported TA is going to cabinet in October 2022. Effective Commissioning - Recommission our short-term supported housing (Pathways) accommodation & support contracts - to maximise effectiveness of these resources / funding stream and minimise repeat homelessness		Cost Effective Accommodation - Initiated a project with the aim of reducing the net unit cost of Temporary Accommodation. Opportunities being explored and prioritised.		December 2023	50%
Risk Consequences: Increase in homelessness and the number of households in Temporary Accommodation. Expenditure on Temporary Accommodation does not return to pre-pandemic levels and could continue to increase.	Planning to bring more block contr year	acts on-line this financial			March 24	15%
			Submit a bid to Single Homelessr Programme (SHAP) to bring on-li housing		September 2023	100%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Housing Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.	Summary of Progress: The number of households prese households living in Temporary A tracked asylum decisions, increasincrease. There is an underlying 23/24 is now £1.9m.	accommodation (TA) 1 sed homelessness from	300 on 31st July 2023 to 1431 on the private rented sector and of	on 30th November 202 early prison release ha	3. Factors included to contributed to	uding fast o the

Threat Risk	Trend	Trend Current Risk Assessme				Level
Risk Title: CRR39 – Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier may fail to deliver as expected failure Description: Failure or potential degradation of ASC service provision linked to a complex set of internal / external risks causing service interruption or cessation. Failures or closures in the supply chain mean insufficient supply to source adequate appropriate support and meet Care Act needs.	Improving	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Likelinood	14 Likelihoo Impac	od = 2	Impact
Risk Causes: - Provider goes into liquidation or ceases operations	Existing Control	ols		Mitigating		1_
 -Provider unable to meet demand due to recruitment / workforce/ or organisational issues. 			Action Ti		Due Date	Progress
-Factors influencing provider/supplier failure: Increased demand and increased complexity of need of individuals putting further pressure on social care sector. Chronic workforce recruitment and retention	Daily review of supply and sustainab business continuity meetings across Twice weekly Operational Business	operations	Provider RAG rating to essential / difficult to re services		March 24	10%
problems heightened by pandemic. The social care sector facing a number of other issues – highly competitive job market, covid 'exhaustion', rising energy costs, changes to National Living Wage, inflation/ raising costs of supplies, high cost of living in Bristol, significant pressures from two large acute hospitals. Risk Consequences: Citizens (many of whom are very vulnerable) may have services ended or reduced without much notice putting them at risk and causing distress Lack of suitable local provision may mean people moving away from community, support networks Lack of alternative provision should mean not meeting statutory duties under Care Act Pressures on ASC workforce (social work, contracts, brokerage commissioning etc) to review and find alternative provision in timely manner Financial pressures as demand may drive prices up Lack of suitable provision resulting people moving to inappropriate more costly provision (e.g. care home instead of home care) Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.	Weekly ASC Business continuity me Weekly produced Sit Rep with inform Management, supply, demand, provi Regular information received from D assess financial risk Each major contract (Home Care, Ca Support Services, ECH) has a multi- Relations team which assess risks to plan response whether QA or Comm Provider Sustainability Panel is a fort assess the financial issues facing ind consider support options Regular meetings with a) key Strateg all provider forums and regular dialog West Care Association Daily assessment of supply - via Bro relationship team and Contracts Strategic Planning and information s LAs and other key stakeholders - Gr BNSSG and joint problem solving, si resources. Provider Failure/Service Interruption	nation on Covid Outbreak der quality &B Credit ratings to help are Homes, Community disciplinary Business to those provisions and issioning um where ASC can dividual provider and gic Providers in the city b) gue with Care and Support kerage team, Business tharing with CCG, other eat integration across haring of information and				
Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care & Integrated Care System Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.	Summary of Progress: It continues to be likely that a large so able to cope.	upplier could fail but mos	t likely be a planned e	xit and as ma	rket relatively sta	ble, it would be

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk To	olerance Le	vel
Risk Title: CRR40 – Potential Threat of Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies Description: There is a risk that BCC's investments in subsidiaries may require greater than anticipated capital investment.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Impact	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	兰	mpact
Risk Causes: Failure to have effective corporate	Existing Cor	ntrols		Mitigating Actions	S	
governance arrangements in place in one or more of the companies.	Control		Action Ti		Due Date	Progress
Failure to ensure the right leadership with the right skills across the Companies. Business Failure due to severe economic downturn caused by external factors (incl. Pandemic & Brexit). Service delivery failure as a result of specific market changes (e.g., recyclate market, housing market, volatility in gas and electric market prices, delays in timing of income from customer heat network connections), failure to secure planning etc. Delivery of BE2020 wind up within financial envelope. Legislation changes. Cyber Security - risk that key systems are compromised and that sensitive data is stolen Failure to develop and grow commercial trading activities Risk Consequences: - Financial Loss - Reputational damage to the council - Impact to service provision provided by subsidiary companies	as COVID on the business an proposed for optimising emer mitigating pressures. 4. Effective engagement with B	mpact of External issues such and adaptive approach being riging opportunities and the reserved matter ment with BCC Client teams to and set clear KPIs ided and regular review of				
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and S151 Officer.						
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	Summary of Progress: Risk rating for 1st December uncha at year end to deal with shortfall re			pe required to increas	se Waste contr	act payments

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risl	k Assessment	Risk Tol	erance Lev	'el
Risk Title: CRR41 – Capital Portfolio Delivery May Fail Description: Capital portfolio is not delivered on time, within budget and does not deliver One City Plan and Corporate Strategy objectives.	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	likelihood likelihood	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Likelihood	mpact
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions		
Strategic, geographic, social, financial and economic conditions changing over time	Control		Action T	tle	Due Date	Progress
Oversight of Project Interdependencies not well managed Insufficient in-house resources to progress major projects lead to missed opportunities to leverage third party investment	Introduction of enhanced highlight a the G&R Board - Change Services Freports submitted to G&R Board from programmes and projects. This is not programmes and projects.	PMO have regular Highlight m key and/or large capital	practice governance, structu	Capital transformation project to develop best practice governance, structure and assurance across whole capital programme.		
Failure to anticipate and secure investment and resources to deliver enabling works and infrastructure	Internal/External comms factored in into all resource requests to reduce reputational risks					
Risk Consequences: The cost is higher than expected The capital portfolio is delivered later than planned The operating and maintenance cost of assets exceeds expectations Benefits not delivered resulting in failure to deliver outcomes to secure strategic objectives	Additional headroom in MTFP assumptions to manage inflationary and supply chain issues - Change Services PMO have regular Highlight reports submitted to G&R Board from key and/or large capital programmes and projects. This is no ongoing.					
					1	
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.						
Portfolio Flag: Mayoral Portfolio and City Economy, Finance & Performance	Summary of Progress: The construction sector while still challenging continues to become less volatile. Actions taken to ensure sufficient capital contingency has resulted in a more resilient capital portfolio. Performance is uneven with variation across the portfolio. The capital transformation programme continues to implement improvements, substantially assured standard operating procedures and best practice across the capital portfolio to					
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	mitigate the risks identified in this ite will be enhanced reporting and corp	em and target better speed, o	quality and value in delivery. A	key outcome from the t		

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk A	Assessment	Ris	sk Tolerance Lev	el
Risk Title: CRR43 - Lack of progress for Mass Transit may have on Impact on the city Description: Failure of regional authorities to agree way forward for development of a Mass Transit system. No sign up to results of feasibility study.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	lmpact	10 Likelihood = Impact = 5	_	
Risk Causes: 1. Resourcing Business Case development	Existing C	Controls	Mitigating Actions			
2. Lack of political consensus	Control		Action Ti	tle	Due Date	Progress
Viability of Business Case Lack of DfT support	Mass Transit Directors Board - Monthly board in place at regional level to ensure appropriate senior officer engagement with project Regular internal briefings - Regular briefings with senior managers and administration					
Risk Consequences: -Reputational impactLong term congestion and air pollution increaseRegional productivity reducedThreat to investment across the city.	osinoi managore ana aamin					'
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.						
Portfolio Flag: Transport (Cllr Alexander)	Summary of Progress: Committee failed to agree a Awaiting update from WECA		jic outline business cas	e so currently no	o evident way forward	for project.
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risl	k Assessment	Risk T	olerance Lev	el
Risk Title: CRR45 - Potential failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of Children Description: Failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of the safeguarding of children resulting in harm or death to a child or other unmitigated risk to the local authority	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Impact	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	<u> </u>	O
Risk Causes:	Existing Contr	ols		Mitigating Actions		
Staffing failure: recruitment and retention COVID failure: business continuity plans fail due to higher infection/isolation	Control 1. Benchmarking salaries with re		Action Tit Implement transformation pro Children's service		Due Date October 2024	Progress 41%
Management failure: failure to oversee and respond in a timely way to child protection	Investing in training and development Over-recruiting where required					
concerns, leaving children at risk	Reviewing system pressures a weekly basis					
Risk Consequences: Harm or death of a child	5. Systemic unit model and integ				1	•
Inspection failure and regulatory action Litigation and reputational damage	Skilled and stable workforce w workers - Continued low use of turnover and vacancies have r	of agency workers but				
Other unpredicted costs to the LA	Strong multiagency children's under Keeping Bristol Safe arr	angements				
	Scrutiny of statutory safeguard	ding partners				
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Children and Education.						
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities	Summary of Progress: The Our Families Transformation Progremanagement by focussed work to prevent	ent children coming into care	and improve placement suffici	ency. 3) Respond to	the findings withi	n our Ofsted
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.	improvement plan. 4) Work is being pla assessments. 5) DfE Grant to improve place to deliver against this over next 2 of social workers. 6) The progress agai and CLB. The Directorate Improvement	outcomes for children and lir yrs. Consultants appointed to nst the DfE grant and 7) Our	ked to Our Families Transform o work on models for adolescel Families Transformation progr	ation Programme has nts, housing pathway	s been approved and recruitment	and plan is in and retention

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Ris	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR48 - We may not be able to meet the affordable housing needs of the city by failing to meet the Project 1000 Delivery targets. Description: Failure of the City to deliver to the Mayoral Target of 1000 affordable homes per year by 2024. Strategies and delivery models designed to further stimulate growth in the housing market and deliver diversity of the housing in the City prove to be ineffective.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Likelihood	14 Likelihood Impact	d = 2 = 7	pact	
Risk Causes: - Availability of public subsidy from homes England and challenges in	Exis	ting Controls		Mitigating Act	ions		
meeting their funding viability and value for money assumptions -reduction in the levels of Capital funding the Council has to support	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress	
affordable housing delivery by third party providers the complexity and costs associated with the development of brownfield		toring of affordable housing ne including identification of where	Secure Homes England Air Housing Programme Fund		March 2026	70%	
sites, leading to viability challenges for both direct and 3rd party delivery. Insufficient land available continued impact of Covid 19 on the delivery programme of developments in the City Not enough planning applications submitted Not enough planning permissions granted and delays within the planning process Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level to meet need through the planning system Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit Lack of capacity within the council's delivery system and the local market last flicient housing land identified in strategic planning documents Risk Consequences: Reputational damage; 2. Increased levels of homelessness Increased demand from the private rented sector, (non-affordable), by those in highest need 4. Residualisation of lower value areas of the city; 5. Economic deprivation, poorer health and lower educational attainment of households living in poverty in poor housing conditions with limited tenancy sustainability; 6. Balance between addressing need for family homes V increased viability of delivering smaller units Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration,	HDT can unblock b 2. Requiring a minimuland released by th 3. Working collaboratismaximise subsidy in much affordable hor framework for regulplace, focussing on delivery. 4. Project 1000 and Hand active decision political level to infludelivery. Project 10 5. KPI Targets for afforeporting of KPI targets corporate scrutiny of the second political level to influde the second political level to influe the seco	parriers to delivery. Jum of 30% affordable housing on the Council. Juvely with Homes England to the schemes - This provides as the susing as possible. New that collaboration and review in the both BCC direct delivery and RP Jousing Delivery Boards - Scrutiny the making / support at a senior and the support at a senior and	2. Maximise capital funding f England, WECA and DLUI the complexities and addit delivering an affordable hc programme on brownfield including looking at ways of a strategic approach with I partners to meet infrastructabnormal costs.	rom Homes HC to address ional costs of justing sites, of developing key funding	March 2025	80%	
Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes Strategic Theme: Fair and Inclusive	has progressed well sind	revious quarter in what is currently a ce last quarter with new planning cos completed in q2, a total of 227 th	onsents and start on site milestone	es being reached	on 5 sites delivering 7	6 new homes.	
	pipeline of 80 developme	ent opportunities being brought forwards that is potentially at risk for the	ard which will deliver a further 300	0+ homes in futur	re years. Its is this long		

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk Asses	sment	Risk Tol	olerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR49 - Potential Impact of Weak Workforce Resilience Description: A lack of workforce resilience or capacity to provide statutory services and achieve strategic aims and objectives	Constant	9 Impact 3 = Likelihood= 3	Impact	6 Impact=3 Likelihood = 2	Tolerance Likelihood	ance Impact	
Risk Causes:	Exist	ing Controls		Mitigating A	ctions		
Failure to recruit – particularly in specialist areas where	Control			Action Title	Due Date	Progress	
the market is highly competitive COVID-19 impact in labour market and workforce sickness High levels of staff turnover High staff sickness levels	contingent workforce; agencyPromotion of apprenticeshipsRegular and close review of m	and internal progression opportunitinanagement information (through H	being refre workforce	Strategy is currently eshed and will have resilience and as a primary theme	March 2024	75%	
Ineffective prioritisation of workloads Risk Consequences: Key services fail – inability to meet service demands Statutory and/ or regulatory obligations are not delivered Strategic priorities and aims are not delivered. The council becomes unfocused, and demand led. Increasing levels of sickness absence Higher staff turnover and loss of talent HSE/Legal action Reputational damage Poor customer satisfaction leading to complaints and	starters/exits to enable targete Stress risk assessments, supple health advice and Employee Aminimise the incidence and lestress risk assessment has been trade unions and staff led group 22. Support for managers with fut planning, with bespoke action Consideration of impact of cost	Dashboards and leavers survey) to monitor turnover, staff starters/exits to enable targeted actions to be taken • Stress risk assessments, supporting attendance policy, occupational health advice and Employee Assistance Programme are in place to minimise the incidence and length of sickness absence. A refreshed stress risk assessment has been developed through consultation with trade unions and staff led groups and is due for launch in December					
requests for compensation Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Director of Workforce and Change Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance & Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	workers simultaneously suffering	over have started to subside. It is re g a breakdown in resilience is unlike at a directorate/divisional/service le	ly. Therefore cons				

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tole	erance Leve	el	
Risk Title: CRR51 - ASC may be financial unsustainable due to national and local pressures leads to a failure to deliver statutory duties and budgetary control Description: There is a risk that ASC financial unsustainability due to a number of national and local pressures compromises the ability to deliver statutory duties and the independence of people that draw on care and support.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	10 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Likelihood	O	
Risk Causes:	Existing Co	ontrols		Mitigating Actions			
-Rising demand in Adult Social Care which must be met under the	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress	
Care Act. Particularly from complex needs and higher cost requirements in people under 65. These needs are more likely to be met outside of area, be subject to lower personal contributions, and be needed for longer. -Increase of needs due to more health services being delivered in	Established Care Cubed to in enabling the service to maxing		Strategic Partner (People work		March 2024	15%	
	 Improved Business Intelligent tools for analysing and report 	ting business intelligence	Develop Single Framework		February 2024	50%	
the community without appropriate funding following the patientIncreased complex needs across our demographics that must be	and performance informationImproved governance process	ss on all spend - Improved	Increase the take up and opportunity around the use of technology enabled care		Sept 2023	100%	
met under the Care ActLack of funds available within budget to meet statutory dutiesLack of systems in order to ensure effective governance and control of all spendPressure from wider system pressures - for example, delays in hospitals which lead to increased long term cost provision for careNon-recurrent funding which limits opportunity for long term investment.	outside of Council statutory pro resilience in communities and statutory services are focused Reset the ASC Transformation programme to address market	nities with Health - Through ed Care Board (ICB) BCC of integration opportunities joint commissioning of n team ons - Using new locality	Review of in-house service efficiencies and savings	e provision to deliver	Sept 2023	100%	
Risk Consequences: Overspending on the budget which may impact the wider council. The consequence of this risk are that appropriate and effective care and support as required under the Care Act may not be possible for all those who require it. The consequence could be felt in the quality or quantity of care and support, or in both.		voluntary sector to maximises of outside of Council statutory pro resilience in communities and i statutory services are focused of	voluntary sector to maximise outside of Council statutory presilience in communities an statutory services are focuse. Reset the ASC Transformation programme to address mark	es care and support provision provision. This builds d individuals, and ensure ed on the right interventions. For Programme - Reset the et provision, workforce			
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care & Integrated Care System	Summary of Progress: Peopletoo and other transformation of legacy saving projects, transform at the scale and pace required. Nor	ation and continued increase in	demand. Some projects are p				
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.							

Threat Risk	Trend	Curre	ent Risk	Assessment	Risk To	lerance Le	vel
Risk Title: CRR52 Failure to manage and evidence compliance with building safety obligations in HRA stock may lead to regulatory enforcement. Description: Risk of failing to ensure high rise properties meet safety requirements	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7		Impact	7 Likelihood = 1 Impact = 7	Like ihood	ect
Risk Causes: Findings from new PAS9980 inspection regime,	Existing Contro	ols		Miti	gating Actions		
learning from fires and new regulatory requirements. Difficulty	Control			Action Title		Due Date	Progress
recruiting to new posts and use of interim arrangements due to sector wide capacity issues with recruitment.	and building safety complia	Building safety board meetings monitor fire and building safety compliance. A competent resubuilding safety of building safety board meetings monitor fire and building safety board meetings monitor fire building safety board meetings monitor fire and building safety board meetings monitor fire building safety of				Dec 23	30%
	Governance via HSLT, EDI Safety, Health &Wellbeing Member. Plan to create Building Safe	and Cabinet	met by er key buildi safety ca	that all Building Safety Bill ob nsuring all in scope buildings ing information supplied Ph ses) (New)	are registered and ase 3 (building	April 23	3%
	evidence the safety manag and action plans to remedia • Progression of a plan of action	ement systems ate risks.	safety ma	nt an evidenced risk-based ap anagement which ensures bui k have more robust/intensive	ldings which have a	April 23	20%
Risk Consequences: Risks to personal safety, reputational and legal (financial and criminal), increased insurance costs.	requirements is underway t Head of Business Developr Programme Lead (Residen Safety).	hrough the ment and	Building/f occurrence strategy,	Fire Safety- develop plan for one continued of the reporting, golden thread of data ownership, data storage systems under BSA	data, mandatory information-	March 24	25%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Homes and Landlord Service	- Cu.s.y).		Building S	Safety – Develop strategy and building safety case review fr		Oct 23	90%
Sheeter Florings and Editation Golfvice			Building s	safety – Finalise and impleme engagement strategy		March 24	30%
			engagem	safety – Finalise and impleme ent strategy	·	March 24	30%
			framewor		. •	Dec 23	100%
			through F	safety –Review current govern H&LS DMT, EDM and CLB		Dec 23	100%
			both build to very lir	safety, Fire Safety – Compreh ding safety and fire safety for mited knowledge of 200+ mid-	>11-18m stock due rise buildings	May 24	30%
			Building \$	Safety- start building safety ca	ases for HRBs	March 24	100%

Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes	Summary of Progress:
	The panel determined that the likelihood should increase to 4 (highly likely) to reflect the above concerns, and takes into consideration the
	current major incident declared at Barton House relating to structural issues. Following discussion with members of HSLT, the risk title has
	been amended to reflect the possible outcome of regulatory enforcement, and the likelihood of this reclassified as 3 (likely). Significant
	progress has been in resourcing the Building Safety Team - albeit still predominantly with Interim appointments, and a programme for
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and	prioritisation of delivery and management of our Building Safety Obligations.
Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk As	sessment	Risl	k Tolerance Le	evel	
Risk Title: CRR53 - Increased social worker and occupational therapists' vacancies and sickness rates may result in vulnerable adults' care being comprised.	Constant	20	77		_		
Description: Limited staff capacity within operational teams will result in increased waiting times for assessment and review potentially putting vulnerable adults at risk of going without sufficient care and support.		Impact = 5 Major Likelihood= 4 Almost Certain	Impact	Likelihood Impact =		Impact	
Risk Causes: -Difficulties recruiting and retain experienced social workers and OTs.	Existing C	ontrols		Mitigating	Actions		
This is in line with national picture of increasing vacancy rates in statutory adult care social care departments across the country.	Control		Action	Title	Due Date	Progress	
-These vacancies are not distributed equally with some operational teams having nearly 50% vacant postsSickness absence in operational teams have also increased during this period which is further compounding operational teams' ability to respond to those in most urgent needCost of living crisis is also likely to impact on retention rates of social work staff Risk Consequences: - As a result of this decreased operational capacity this has seen an increase in numbers of people waiting for assessment and reviews (insert data) -The percentage of individuals who have had an annual review of their care and support needs has also decreased in the last year with less than 50% of individual in receipt of care and support having had a formal review. Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.	 Increase Social Work and ASC have doubled the ame apprentices this year increper year and 2 OT apprent Operational Business Contoperational teams have interested for workflow and demand. To bust duty systems in place present to respond to urge mitigate against highest rist respond in a timely way to Recruitment Strategy - Devistrategy and implemented Developing enhanced Well staff - dedicated additional Workforce L&D to enhance offer. 	ount of SW and OT asing to 6 SW Apprentices ices. tinuity plans duty - All ernal prioritisation process Additionally, they have be with duty workers nt demands or cases to ok of harm to citizens and those at greatest need. It is in the control of	Commission Work	force Strategy	November 2023	100%	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.	Summary of Progress: Work underway on TOM and V	ision for ASC. Pay and non-p	pay options to shore i	up recruitment ar	nd retention progres	ssing.	

Threat Risk	Trend	Current I	Risk Assessment	Risk Toler	ance Leve	e l
Risk Title: CRR54 - Potential Threat of Financial Sustainability of Nursery Schools Description: The impact of Covid and union action on maintained nursery schools and classes will significantly reduce the funding provided to the LA and schools and could impact on sustainability and sufficiency (sufficiency being a statutory responsibility of the service) Ongoing underfunding of nursery schools continue to raise questions about their future.	Constant	6 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 2	Impact	6 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 2	Likelihood	O
Risk Causes:	Existing C	ontrols	М	itigating Actions	1	
Availability of staff to service early years learning	Control		Action Titl		Due Date	Progress
 Census data weakened to inform on EY sector funding. Government process change. 	nursery schools.	inancial impact greed actions with targeted on on the strategic need for	Continuing with the nursery transformat working with nursery schools to implem reduce in-year deficits and move toward the future.	ent action plans that will	April 24	55%
	nursery schools and key a required to support sustair Individual finance visits to	reas where action is nability.	Bringing groups of nursery schools toge collaborative and federation models that leadership, skills and expertise.	April 24	50%	
	schools with the largest in- action plans to tackle iden		Modelling management of change and models to inform discussions.	April 24	50%	
Risk Consequences:	 Communication with nursery schools to establish Covid impact and impact on pupil numbers Development of a nursery transformation program in collaboration with LA maintained nursery school 	ery schools to establish on pupil numbers transformation programme	Engaging with elected members to revinursery schools and how the council casecuring future sustainability.		April 24	45%
Increased financial deficits in maintained nursery schools leading to impact on the DSG and long-term sustainability.	 headteachers and governous Context conversations to context strategic importance of null financial and operational context. 	capture the strengths and rsery schools as well as the				
2. Reduction in places across the maintained sector on a permanent basis as schools close unsustainable nursery provision that impacts on the city's sufficiency plan.	ililandai and operational d	nallenges.				
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Service Director Education and Skills						
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities	Olds and 3/4 Year Olds, are	e impacting positively on th	sed supplement for maintained nurse ne school's in-year budget positions. I und care has been announced and o	Further funding to support	government	plans for
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	of these increases on currer underway to agree a repayn	nt balances. The key challment plan that is managea torical deficit in 3-5 years,	enge remaining is the repayment of t ble by schools and which meets outc as stipulated by the financial regulati	he historical deficit of £7m omes of an Equalities Imp	illion. Discus act Assessm	sions ent.

Threat Risk	Trend	Current I	Current Risk Assessment			el	
Risk Title: CRR55 - Children placed in unregistered provision may be at risk Description: There is a possible high threat risk for the council regarding children placed in unregistered provision which is unlawful.	Constant	28 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 7	pood <u>li</u> ayii T	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	pood Impa	O	
Risk Causes:	Existing C	Existing Controls		litigating Actions			
 The causes are placement sufficiency and increased numbers of children coming into 	Control		Action Titl	е	Due Date	Progress	
care.	Oversight of Director of	Children and Families	Improve placement sufficiency		March 2025	60%	
			Explore with Ofsted more creative	solutions	January 24	80%	
			Implementation of BCC Families T Programme.	ransformation	January 24	67%	
Risk Consequences: Unlawful placements Negative Legal Impact Negative Ofsted Impact							
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Children and Education							
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities	Summary of Progress: The risk remains as we continue to have children placed in unregistered provision.						
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing							

Threat Risk	Trend	Current F	Current Risk Assessment			_evel
Risk Title: CRR56 - Potential threat to the ASC Care Quality Commission (CQC) Assurance Preparedness and Rating Description:	Constant	21 Likelihood =3 Impact = 7	Impact	2 Likelihood Impact =	1 🖺	erance impact
Risk Causes New line of work - learning whilst doing with little	Existing Co	ntrols	M	itigating Actio	ons	
evidence base or benchmarking to refer to.	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
Programme of work in preparation for inspection hitherto managed within BAU resource which has	This is a new area of work, a		LGA Peer Review (New)	Ja	nuary 2024	0%
proved insufficient. Current workforce and operating model pressures are	requirements are emerging pilots.	as we learn from	Reframed Approach to Self- Assessment (New)		ecember 2023	67%
leading to risks to compliance in carrying out statutory duties, e.g. safeguarding, timely Care Act Assessments. Data and performance reporting (e.g. locality dashboard) delayed/unfinished.			Resourcing Self-Assessment	Oc	ctober 2023	100%
Risk Consequences: People are families are waiting too long to be seen as						
teams are having to operate waiting lists, including in areas where there should be none, e.g. Safeguarding and First Response. Line of sight of risk is compromised. Individuals may come to harm.						
Risk Owner(s): Director - Adult Social Care						
Portfolio Flag: Adult and Communities – Adult Social Care	Summary of Progress: Self Assessment complete with partners and colleagues		I tional resource. LGA Peer Revie nt.	w Prep is unde	erway alongside e	engagement
Strategic Theme: Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing Our Organisation						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Ris	k Tolerance	Level
Risk Title: CRR57 Possible procurement breaches and compliance with procurement rules & legislation. Description: Lack of compliance with procurement rules with regards to	Constant	20 Likelihood =4	ikelihood	9 Likeliho	8	
purchasing and contract management may result in breaches or BCC Procurement Rules and at risk of breaching PCR2015.		Impact = 5	Impact	Impac	400,4813	folerance Impact
Risk Causes Poor contract management	Existing Co	ontrols	M	litigating Ac	tions	
Lack strategic planning and pipeline awareness.; Supplier	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
preference, unwillingness to tender; Lack capacity withing Procurement & Contract Management Service; Rise of inflation and savings targets	All procurement breaches to be Directors	Monthly reporting to Direction Finance on breach activition	У	March 2024	75%	
illiation and savings targets	Breach Dashboard Data Reporting	Quarterly Members Briefing on Procurement Breaches		March 2024	75%	
	Training		Monitoring reports on bre to all Directors and Execu Directors on breach number compliance and mitigation	utive bers,	March 2024	75%
Risk Consequences: Successful Legal challenge and financial penalty against BCC.						
Reputational damage due to internal audit scrutiny and external audit publishing.						
Risk Owner(s): Director: Finance (CFO S151)						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: Q2 Report - There have been 125 breaches YTD, which is high but represents a significant reduction from this time last year (194). For context, this means that 9% of all procurement decisions were breaches (125/1318), or 6% by value (£40m of breaches out of a total of £631m total decisions). Breach numbers by Directorate YTD are: 26 in Adults & Communities, 64 in Children and Education, 35 in G&R 0 breaches in Resources. CLB agreed to bring Director led 'deep dive' reports back to CLB to set out how procurement breaches will be reduced. Breaches now monitored weekly by CLB.					
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Ris	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR58 Failure to maintain and replace the Highway and Traffic assets may lead to future budget shocks and potential injuries to the public Description: Deterioration of highway condition. Additional roadworks resulting in congestion and air pollution.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	Likeliho	od = 2 et = 5	Tolerance Impact	
Risk Causes Under investment in replacements and upgrades of	Existing Co	ontrols	M	litigating Ac	tions		
highway and traffic assets	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress	
Climate changes are accelerates the depreciation of the asset Lack of funding from Central Government and local funding	Depreciation modelling identific to Highway and Traffic asses Lifecycle modelling and schemests	Request for funding to be in the medium financial pl		January 24	75%		
Risk Consequences: 1. Multi-storey carpark closure 2. Multi-storey carpark structural failure 3. Harbour Bridge Structural Failure 4. Failure to safeguard against significant injury/death. 5. Litigation from third party aggravated or who have suffered loss, due to non treatment of a perceived collision site or other Highways defect. 6. Traffic congestion and air pollution. 7. Increase in complaints and request for repairs diverts resources from core business. Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration Portfolio Flag: Transport	Preventative maintenance app the life of the asset and slows: Risk based to seeking addition funds) Summary of Progress:	the depreciation. al funding (Challenge	etanaga E.g. olaima ingraes	on and defen	to an natural in		
Strategic Theme: Well Connected	Risk categories increased to m depreciation accelerates. Risk support business case for addi	of the asset depreciation h					

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tolerance	sk Tolerance Level	
Risk Title: CRR59 Failure to deliver timely statutory planning decisions Description: The DM service must determine applications in statutory time periods and failure to do this results in delays in delivering development and potentially refund of fees	Deteriorating	20 Likelihood =4 Impact = 5	Impact	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Tolerance Impact	
Risk Causes	Existing Co	ontrols	Mitic	gating Actions		
Inability to attract, recruit and/or retain existing suitably trained/qualified/experienced staff to support work	Control		Action Title	Due Date	Progress	
programme and the development of the service. Small teams are less resilient than others, in some instances only one or two staff qualified to carry out			Backlog recovery plan of pla application decisions		25%	
Jobs in the council not seen as aspirational and interest impacted by national news of council funding reductions. Salaries not as competitive as private sector. Limited opportunity for staff progression. Corporate financial pressures impacting recruitment and retention Overturns of officer recommendations by Members at DC Committee. Decisions made under delegated powers that are overturned by the Planning Inspectorate at appeal. Risk Consequences: Planning & building regulation applications take longer to determine. Delays in delivering developments. Potential fee refund if extended time not agreed. Inability to complete existing projects Reduced ability to take on work and to respond to variations in workload and programmes. Loss of market share if delays not acceptable to the customer. Inability to honour career grade progression commitments. Risk Owner(s): Chief Planner Portfolio Flag: Strategic Planning and City Design	Summary of Progress:	this has been a serious is:	sue for the last 6 months that a	are addressing as No. 1 r	priority in	
	Summary of Progress: In light of the massive backlog development management. The months likely longer.					

Opportunity Risks

Opportunity Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Risk T	olerance L	evel	
Risk Title: OPP01 - Possible Impact of One City Approach Description: The One City Approach will offer a new way to plan strategically with partners as part of a wider city system.	Constant	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	Impact	28 Likelihood = Impact = 7		Impact
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Action	ne	
Mayoral aspiration and widespread partner sign-up to principles		11013				1_
Work to date has produced outline plan and	Control	/a have muchused v2 of the	Action Tit	le	Due Date	Progress
engaged partners in the long-term vision and necessary work to complete the plan	V3 One City Plan Produced - W One City Plan and produced ou available on the One City Webs	Set up Partnership Board	I	September 2023	100%	
			Establish TOR and Perfo	rmance Criteria	Feb 24	50%
Risk Consequences: 1. The council can plan as part of a wider city system, making stronger plans based on agreed city priorities which already have partner buy-in 2. Potential to make financial and efficiency savings and/ or deliver better services and/or reduced demand for service, reducing costs whilst improving citizen outcomes. Update April 2020: 3. Relationships already built can accelerate communication, collaboration and effective delivery of a coherent plan for the city's recovery from Covid-19 Risk Owner(s): Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships. Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and						
Performance	Summary of Progress: Good engagement with Committee I the model, which will be influential.	Member Working Group abo	ut One City but awaiting fut	ture session to con	firm the group	's view about
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation						

External and Civil Contingency Risks

External and Civil Contingency Risk	Trend	Current Risk As	sessment	Risk T	olerance Le	evel
Risk Title: BCCC1 - Flooding May Impact Public Safety Description: There could be a risk of damage to properties and infrastructure as well as risk to public safety from flooding which may be caused by a tidal surge, heavy rainfall and river flood events.	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Likelihood	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3		O
Risk Causes:	Evictina	Centrala	impaot	Mitigating Actio	200	
-Tidal surge, heavy rainfall, and river flood events	Control	Controls	Action T	Mitigating Actio	Due Date	Progress
-Impact of climate change	0.01111.01	desilience Forum - The Avon and	Avonmouth Severnside			
-Lack of effective flood defences and preparedness for	Somerset Local Resilience Fo	rum (LRF) is a partnership of all the	flood defence scheme -	construction	June 2027	80%
major incidents -Failure of existing flood defences	organisations needed to preparea. It includes the emer	Bristol Avon Flood Strate Business Case	egy - Outline	January 24	70%	
a allule of existing flood deterices	Maritime and Coastal Agenciagencies, utility companies,	Deliver Local Flood Risk Management Actions		February 2030	25%	
	councils of Bath and North Somerset, Somerset, and Sou 2. Engagement with external page	Establish improved maintenance regimes for the assets in the floating harbour and update asset management system (New)		Dec 24	50%	
Risk Consequences: -Economic Impacts including loss of property -Loss of life/injury -Reputational damage -Damage to infrastructure including strategic highway -Blight of city centre development land	authorities, and other agencie and procedures, investigatin specialist staff in swift water with housing and business protection into new develop	ing with emergency services, local as to develop flood response plans ag instances of flooding, training rescue techniques, communicating developers to incorporate flood oments. It provides guidance to flooding, including flood warnings	Resilient Frome project	March 27	20%	
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.	local Flood Risk Management themes and 43 separate a Agency's national strategy. The a number of key studies (whice city) to structure our responsemergency management to flood	Ant Strategy - Bristol has in place a Strategy which comprises of 5 key actions in line with Environment the Strategy has used outputs from the dentify the risk of flooding to the te to flood risk management, from bood mitigation schemes intenance and Clearing of Gullies dvance of storm warnings	Underfall Yard sluice rep construction (New)	olacement works –	July 24	20%
Portfolio Flag: Climate, Ecology, Energy &	Summary of Progress:	711 TOLOGIOTI UTIL			I.	
Waste and Strategic Planning, Resilience and Flood Strategy		e resilience project, manager recruitr	ment ongoing. Projects pro	gressing but signific	ant flood risk rer	nain.
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.						

External and Civil Contingency Risk	Trend	Current Risk Ass	sessment	Risk Tolera	ance Level
Risk Title: BCCC4 – Possible Increase in Winter diseases including COVID-19 and Flu	Deteriorating	40		0	p
Description: Covid 19 poses multiple risks to population health. Directly from infection; indirectly through social and economic impacts; and through pressures on the health and care system. On 21ST Feb 2022 the Gov announced Living with Covid Strategy which includes withdrawal of population testing and contact tracing. Isolation and other compliance is voluntary		12 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 3	Impact	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Impact
		g Controls		itigating Actions	
Risk Causes: Covid 19 poses multiple risks to population health.	Control		Action Title	Due Date	Progress
Directly from infection; indirectly through social and economic impacts; and through pressures on the health and care system. Removal of Covid controls reduces ability to contain infection. Risk Consequences: Infection from Covid, proportion of severe illness, long Covid and deaths. Disruption to work, school, university. Emotional and mental health impacts, for all ages including loneliness. Food poverty.	produced in current format of the control of the co	rention and Control - Additional een made in Community Infection egional and Health system IPC at and Response Plan - LOMP has Covid Plan - developed with Management Group replaced by oup. Monthly reports to CLB Gold Regular staff and public bulletins gement and Mental Health Work - Health Work			
Risk Owner(s): Executive Directors & Director of Public Health	7. Weekly Death Managemen	tana vaccine reports			
Portfolio Flag: Mayor	Summary of Progress:				
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	The winter disease season h	as not started yet (24/11/23) so the that the seasonal increase will b			or COVID at the

External and Civil Contingency Risk	Trend	Current Risk Assessment			Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: BCCC5 - Cost of Living Crisis may have major impact on Citizens and Communities	Constant	12		9	po		
Description: Failure of the council and its one-city partners to mitigate against, and provide adequate services to, citizens experiencing increases in living costs including fuel and food leading to increased poverty, inequity and worsening health & wellbeing as a result of the ongoing cost of living crisis.		Likelihood = 4 Impact = 3	Impact	Likelihoo Impact	= 3 = L	npact	
Risk Causes:		ng Controls		litigating A			
-Supply chains disruption	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress	
-Global COVID-19 Pandemic -Brexit	1. Baseline / impact as potential impact on Bris	ssessment to understand stolians	Review and update comms plan 23/24	for winter	October 2023	100%	
-War in Ukraine -Leading to rapid inflation	Creation of monitorir indicators	ng framework with 'red flag'	Update Impact Assessment		Sept 2023	100%	
	3. Development of civic	& community asset map	Work with Quartet to award Soci Grants	al Action	December 2023	0%	
Risk Consequences: -Destitution - homelessness	Development of fram Development of fram Development of fram Section 1. The section is a section of the sectio	nework for targeted action					
-Inability for citizens to pay general services and utilities		City and One Council Group					
-Increased debt for citizens and the council	6. Established One Co.	uncil Group to monitor					
-Health and well-being deterioration		action (meeting appx every					
-Inequity deepening	3 weeks)	· Coordination Crown					
-Increased demand on services across the council leading to failure to meet this demand	7. Established One City 8. Communication plan						
-Community cohesion deteriorates	External Communication						
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Public		of Community Exchange -					
Health	Meetings with commun response	ity partners delivering					
Portfolio Flag: Public Health and Communities	Summary of Progress people who need finand more to open now that	cial help or looking to increas small grants have been made	s plan in place. The BCC webs te their household income. 60 v e available via Quartet. The Cit	welcoming s ty Coordinat	paces are open ar	d we expect es to meet	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing			eed our focus is about long tern help available. This is a syster				

Risk Scoring Matrix

	Threat Impact (Negative risks)							Opportunity impact (Positive Risk)				
	Almost certain	4	4 (Low)	12 (Medium)	20 (High)	28 (Critical)	28 (Significant)	20 (High)	12 (Medium)	4 (Low)	4	Almost certain
elhood	Likely	3	3 (Low)	9 (Medium)	15 (High)	21 (High)	21 (High)	15 (High)	9 (Medium)	3 (Low)	3	Likely Cppor
Threat Likelhood	Unlikely	2	Z (Low)	6 (Medlum)	10 (Medlum)	14 (High)	14 (High)	10 (Medlum)	6 (Medlum)	Z (Low)	2	Unlikely 500
	Rare	1	1 (Low)	3 (Low)	5 (Medlum)	7 (Medlum)	7 (Medium)	5 (Medium)	3 (Low)	1 (Low)	1	Rare
			1	3	5	7	7	5	3	1		
			Minor	Moderate	Major	Critical	Exceptional	Sign ificant	Modest	Slight		

Threat Level	Opportunity Level	Level of Risk	Actions Required
1-4	1-4	Low	May not need any further action / monitor at the Service level.
5-12	5-12	Medium	Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate level.
14-21	14-21	High	Must be addressed - if Directorate level consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Report, if Corporate consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead.
28	28	Critical / Significant	Action required - escalate if a Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Level, if Corporate bring to the attention of the Cabinet Lead to confirm action to be taken.

LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT RISK RATING SCORING Likelihood Guidance

Likelihood	Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4							
	1	2	3	4				
Description	Might happen on rare occasions.	Will possibly happen, possibly on several occasions.	Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals.	Likely to happen, possibly frequently.				
Numerical Likelihood Less than 10% Less than 50%		50% or more	75% or more					

Severity of Impact Guidance (Risk to be assessed against all of the Categories, and the highest score used in the matrix).

Impact Category	Impact Levels 1 to 7			
	1	3	5	7
Service provision	Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within normal working arrangements.	Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame.	Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. Effect may require considerable /additional resource but will not require a major strategy change.	Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time frame or by a short-term allocation of resources and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. Officer / Member forced to resign.
Communities	Minimal impact on community.	Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months.	A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months.	A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals.
Environmental	No effect (positive or negative) on the natural and built environment.	Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment.	Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action.	Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment.
Financial Loss / Gain	Under £0.5m	Between £0.5m - £3m	Between £3m - £5m	More than £5m
Fraud & Corruption Loss	Under £50k	Between £50k - £100k	Between £100k - £1m	More than £1m
Legal	No significant legal implications or action is anticipated.	Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim).	Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation.	Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person).
Personal Safety	Minor injury to citizens or colleagues.	Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence from work.	Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from work.	Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s). Significant long-term disability / absence from work.
Programme / Project Management (Including developing commercial enterprises)	Minor delays and/or budget overspend but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage. No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends. No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends. Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes.	Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project. Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold.
Reputation	Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service.	Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation. Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council. Local MP involvement. Some local media/social media interest.	Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure. Higher levels of local or national interest. Higher levels of local media / social media interest.	Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Intense local, national and potentially international media attention. Viral social media or online pick-up. Public enquiry or poor external assessor report.